

OPEN MEETING

MEMORANDUM

TO: THE COMMISSION

FROM: Utilities Division

DATE: January 28, 2022

RE: IN THE MATTER OF IMPACT OF THE CLOSURES OF FOSSIL-BASED
GENERATION PLANT ON IMPACTED COMMUNITIES (DOCKET NO. E-
00000A-21-0010).

SUBJECT: PROPOSED PROCEDURAL TIMELINE

INTRODUCTION

Enclosed are the Commission Staff's memorandum and proposed order for the Proposed Procedural Timeline in the Matter of Impact of the Closures of Fossil-Based Generation Plant on Impacted Communities (Docket No. E-00000A-21-0010). This is only a Staff recommendation to the Commission; it has not yet become an order of the Commission. The Commission can decide to accept, amend or reject Staff's proposed order.

You may file comments to the recommendation(s) of the proposed order by efilings at <https://efiling.azcc.gov> or filing an original and the appropriate number of copies in accordance with the Filing Requirements available at <http://azcc.gov/hearing/docket-control-center-filing-requirements>, with the Commission's Docket Control on or before: **February 7, 2022**.

This matter may be scheduled for Commission deliberation at its Open Meetings scheduled **February 8, 2022, and February 9, 2022**.

If you have any questions about this matter, please contact Dr. Ben Champion of our Staff at (602) 542-5615, or Elijah Abinah, Director, at (602) 542-6935.

BACKGROUND

On January 12, 2021, pursuant to Decision No. 77856, Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC" or "Commission") Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") opened the current generic docket: "In the Matter of Impact of the Closures of Fossil-Based Generation Plant on Impacted Communities" (Docket No. E-00000A-21-0010) ("Generic Docket").

On Friday, June 11, 2021, and Monday, June 14, 2021, letters were sent along with questions to impacted communities, the Governor's Office, the state legislature, regulated and

nonregulated entities, state and federal agencies, and public utility commissions in neighboring states to solicit comments pertinent to the Generic Docket.

On July 28, 2021, pursuant to Decision Nos. 77856 and 78016, Staff filed its Staff Report summarizing the procedural background of Docket No. E-00000A-21-0010, the information received by stakeholders in responses to Staff's letters, and Staff's research and conclusions.

On November 9, 2021, the Commission issued Decision No. 78317, that required Staff to "hold a procedural workshop to develop and discuss with stakeholders a timeline and process for determining how the Commission should further address [various issues within the Generic Docket]" within 90 days of issuing the Decision.¹

On December 15, 2021, Staff filed two memoranda in the Generic Docket. The first memorandum provided notice of a procedural workshop scheduled for January 6, 2021. The second memorandum provided a list of topics and questions for the scope of the procedural workshop and invited stakeholders to file comments in the Generic Docket or comment at the procedural workshop regarding these topics and questions.

On January 6, 2022, Staff held a procedural workshop ("Procedural Workshop").

Decision No. 78317 also requires that "within 30 days following the stakeholder [procedural] workshop described above, Staff shall prepare and file in the docket, for Commission review and approval, a detailed timeline and proposal identifying how Staff and stakeholders plan to work together to address and ultimately obtain any additional resolution of the issues detailed above."²

STAFF ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Proposed Timeline

Stakeholder Procedural Filings

In response to the memorandum docketed by Staff on December 15, 2021, several stakeholders filed items in the Generic Docket on December 28, 2021. These stakeholders include the following:

- a. Nicole Horseherder on behalf of Tó Nizhóni Ání, Mike Eisenfeld, on behalf of San Juan Citizens Alliance, Cliff Johns, on behalf of Black Mesa Trust, and Carol Davis, on behalf of Dine C.A.R.E. (collectively, "Citizen Groups"),³

¹ Decision No. 78317, Docket No. E-01345A-19-0236, 431, lines 4-25, November 9, 2021, <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/0000205236.pdf>.

² Id. at 431, lines 26-27, 432, lines 1-2.

³ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017173.pdf>

- b. Elizabeth Lawrence on behalf of Arizona Public Service Company (“APS”),⁴
- c. Tucson Electric Power Company (“TEP”),⁵
- d. Daniel Pozefsky on behalf of the Residential Utility Consumer Office (“RUCO”).⁶

Procedural Workshop Content and Stakeholder Participation

During the Procedural Workshop, Staff presented the background and scope of the workshop, wherein the scope was defined as the activities and timelines for Commission action regarding:

- a. Finding funding and other forms of support for Impacted Communities,
- b. Seeking funding and other forms of support for Impacted Communities,
- c. Development of a policy task force among governmental entities and tribal advocates,
- d. Resolving questions surrounding funding and other forms of support by regulated utilities for Impacted Communities, and
- e. Engaging entities other than the ACC.

In addition, stakeholders made presentations and provided public comments.

The following stakeholders made presentations or public comments at the Procedural Workshop:

- a. Chairman Timothy Nuvangyaoma – on behalf of the Hopi Tribe,
- b. Todd Kimbrough – on behalf of the Navajo Nation,
- c. Nicole Horseherder – on behalf of Tó Nizhóni Ání,
- d. Jennifer Anderson – on behalf of Arizona Center for Law in the Public Interest and the Citizen Groups,
- e. Rochelle Lacapa – on behalf of Navajo County,
- f. Amanda Ormond – on behalf of the Arizona State University (“ASU”) Just Energy Transition Center (“JETC”),

⁴ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017172.pdf>

⁵ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017170.pdf>

⁶ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017167.pdf>

- g. Bryan Fields – on behalf of Joseph City School District,
- h. Jeff Allmon – on behalf of APS,
- i. Caryn Potter – on behalf of the Southwest Energy Efficiency Project (“SWEEP”),
- j. Adam Stafford – on behalf of the Western Resources Advocates (“WRA”),
- k. Eric Frankowski – on behalf of the Western Clean Energy Campaign,
- l. Sandy Bahr – on behalf of Sierra Club,
- m. Erik Bakken – on behalf of TEP, and
- n. Amy Mignella – on behalf of the Hopi Tribe.

All slides provided by presenters to accompany their presentations have been filed by Staff in the Generic Docket.⁷

In response to comments made by presenters at the Procedural Workshop, Staff concluded the Procedural Workshop by requesting that all interested stakeholders file any suggested locations for townhall meetings in Impacted Communities in the Generic Docket.

Recommendations

Pursuant to Decision No. 78317, and based on the comments provided by stakeholders in the Generic Docket and the Procedural Workshop, Staff recommends the Commission approve and adopt the detailed procedural timeline presented in the following table:

Objectives (See Decision No. 78317 at 431):	
1) Finding and Seeking Funding and Other Support for Impacted Communities	
2) Policy Task Force	Development of a policy task force involving entities beyond the ACC, potentially including other government agencies, civil society organizations, interested stakeholders, or others.

⁷ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017298.pdf>

3) Utility Funding for Impacted Communities	In support of the resolution of issues in the most recent APS and TEP rate cases regarding utility funding of community transitions through their respective rate cases.
Activities:	
Jan. 6, 2022 (completed)	Procedural Workshop
Feb. 2, 2022 (scheduled)	First Substantive Workshop
Starting Mid-February 2022	Policy Task Force Meets to Develop Draft Recommendations
Starting Mid-February 2022	Town Halls Held in Impacted Communities
May 2, 2022	Policy Task Force Final Recommendations Docketed
May 11, 2022	Second Substantive Workshop: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy Task Force Presents Final Recommendations • Stakeholders Respond to Policy Task Force
July 15, 2022	Staff Report and Recommended Order Based on Policy Task Force Recommendations Docketed

Policy Task Force

In Decision No. 78317, Staff was directed to explore establishment of a Policy Task Force (“Policy Task Force”) consisting of governmental entities and tribal advocates who are or should be involved in the development of policy on issues concerning Arizona communities impacted by the closure of fossil-based generation (“Members”).⁸

On December 15, 2021, Staff filed a memorandum in the Generic Docket requesting input from stakeholders related to procedural aspects of the Policy Task Force, among other items related to the Generic Docket in Decision No. 78317.⁹ Presenters at the Procedural Workshop were also invited to make recommendations regarding the establishment of the Policy Task Force, including its structure and membership.¹⁰

After reviewing the recommendations filed in the Generic Docket in response to the December 15, 2021, Staff Memorandum and contributions by stakeholders in the Procedural

⁸ Decision No. 78317, Docket No. E-01345A-19-0236, 431, lines 21-23, November 9, 2021, <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/0000205236.pdf>.

⁹ Staff Memorandum, December 15, 2021, <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017058.pdf>.

¹⁰ Staff Memorandum, page 9, January 7, 2022, <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017298.pdf>.

Workshop, Staff makes the below recommendations regarding the structure and membership of the Policy Task Force.

Staff Recommendations

Structure of the Policy Task Force

Given the scope of input provided in the Generic Docket, the scope of direction provided by the Commission in Decision No. 78317, and the discussion at the Procedural Workshop, Staff recommends that the Policy Task Force be created and organized into Three Topical Subgroups ("Subgroups"). Staff recommends the Policy Task Force be comprised of a committee of the whole as well as at least the following Subgroups:

- a. Funding,
- b. Repurposing of Plants and Facilities, and
- c. Benefits and Impacts to Ratepayers.

Membership of the Policy Task Force

Staff reviewed past participation in the Procedural Workshop and recent filings in the Generic Docket. Attachment A includes a list of stakeholders who attended the Procedural Workshop or were recommended by presenters at the Procedural Workshop. Attachment B includes a list of stakeholders who were not included in Attachment A but were previously mentioned by regulated utilities as Impacted Communities.

Staff also conducted research into additional stakeholders who could contribute substantive knowledge to the scope of the Policy Task Force, but were not listed in either Attachment A or B. These stakeholders included Impacted Communities, governmental agencies, and non-governmental and community organizations such as Community Development Financial Institutions ("CDFIs"), community economic development and entrepreneurship organizations, and community service organizations. Attachment C includes a list of these organizations.

Staff recommends that all identifiable Impacted Communities be invited as Members of the Policy Task Force given the emphasis by stakeholders on engagement with Impacted Communities during the Procedural Workshop.

Staff also recommends that certain governmental entities with the responsibilities to economic development in Impacted Communities and their surrounding areas should be invited to serve as Members of the Policy Task Force, including RUCO, Arizona Commerce Authority ("ACA"), and Arizona Department of Economic Security ("DES"). In addition, Staff recommends the Federal Interagency Working Group on Coal and Power Plant Communities and Economic Revitalization ("IWG") be invited to serve as a Member given its role as a clearinghouse of federal funding opportunities, support for states and communities seeking funding, and other forms of support from the federal government. Staff further recommends a staff member from the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission ("NMPRC") be invited to serve as a Member of the Policy

Task Force, given its responsibilities in ordering support for Impacted Communities through the New Mexico Energy Transition Act (“ETA”).

Staff also recommends that stakeholders who have participated in the Generic Docket thus far and have expressed interest in being members of the Policy Task Force be invited to do so, and these include the JETC, SWEEP, and WRA.

Since APS, TEP, and SRP each have owned and operated coal plants that either have already ceased generation operations or are scheduled to do so, Staff recommends that these Arizona utilities should serve as Members on the Policy Task Force.

Staff recommends that all Policy Task Force Members be allowed to participate in the Subgroup(s) each desires, but that all Members participate in at least one Subgroup, as designated in the table below. All members identified in the following chart should notify Staff as soon as possible if they desire to be on any additional subgroups.

Member	Subgroup 1) Funding	Subgroup 2) Repurposing of Plants and Facilities	Subgroup 3) Benefits and Impacts to Ratepayers
ACC Staff	X	X	X
Utilities			
APS		X	
TEP	X		
Salt River Project (“SRP”)			X
Governmental Entities			
RUCO	X	X	X
NMPRC	X		
Federal IWG	X		
ACA	X		
DES	X		
Impacted Communities			
The Hopi Tribe	X		
The Navajo Nation	X		
Apache County, AZ	X		
Navajo County, AZ	X		
Coconino County, AZ	X		

THE COMMISSION

January 28, 2022

Page 8

Member	Subgroup 1) Funding	Subgroup 2) Repurposing of Plants and Facilities	Subgroup 3) Benefits and Impacts to Ratepayers
San Juan County, NM	X		
Concho, AZ	X		
City of Craig, CO	X		
Town of Eagar, AZ		X	
City of Farmington, NM		X	
City of Holbrook, AZ		X	
Kayenta, AZ	X		
City of Page, AZ	X		
Pinedale, AZ	X		
Town of Pinetop- Lakeside, AZ		X	
Shonto, AZ	X		
City of Show Low, AZ		X	
Town of Snowflake, AZ		X	
Town of Springerville, AZ		X	
City of St. Johns, AZ	X		
Town of Taylor, AZ		X	
Tuba City, AZ	X		
Vernon, AZ		X	
Joseph City, AZ		X	
Joseph City Unified School District	X		
Northland Pioneer College	X		
Tó Nizhóní Ání	X		
Diné C.A.R.E.		X	
San Juan Citizens Alliance			X
Black Mesa Trust & Black Mesa Water Coalition	X		
Boilermakers Local Lodge 627		X	

Member	Subgroup 1) Funding	Subgroup 2) Repurposing of Plants and Facilities	Subgroup 3) Benefits and Impacts to Ratepayers
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers	X		
Other Stakeholders			
JETC	X		
SWEEP			X
WRA		X	

Town Halls in Impacted Communities

On January 14, 2022, Staff filed a memorandum in the Generic Docket requesting stakeholders file suggestions and proposed locations within Impacted Communities for upcoming townhall meetings in the Generic Docket.¹¹

On January 20 and 21, 2022, stakeholders filed the following suggested locations for townhall meetings:

The Navajo Nation¹²

- LeChee Chapter in LeChee, Arizona
- Kayenta Chapter in Kayenta, Arizona
- Nenahnezad Chapter in Nenahnezad, New Mexico

APS¹³

- APS supports the preferences expressed directly by the representatives of Impacted Communities as to the locations for townhall meetings and will participate in such discussions wherever they are held.

TEP¹⁴

- Eagar, Arizona
- Springerville Community, Arizona
- St. Johns, Arizona

¹¹ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017398.pdf>

¹² <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017470.pdf>

¹³ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017484.pdf>

¹⁴ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017490.pdf>

SWEEP¹⁵

- Black Mesa, around Navajo Generating Station and Kayenta Mine
- Joseph City, Arizona
- Kayenta, Arizona
- Page, Arizona

Citizens Groups¹⁶

- Pinon, Arizona (Chapter House or Pinon Unified School District)
- Kykotsomovi Village, Arizona (Veterans Center or Hopi Day School)

Staff Recommendations

After reviewing the suggested locations by stakeholders, Staff recommends the following locations for townhall meetings in this Generic Docket:

Cholla Power Plant

- Holbrook, Arizona
- Joseph City, Arizona
- Snowflake/Taylor, Arizona

Coronado Generating Station and Springerville Generating Station

- Eagar/Springerville, Arizona
- St. Johns, Arizona

Four Corners Power Plant

- Farmington, New Mexico
- Shiprock, New Mexico

¹⁵ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017480.pdf>

¹⁶ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017493.pdf>

THE COMMISSION

January 28, 2022

Page 11

Navajo Generating Station

- Black Mesa, Arizona
- Kayenta Chapter in Kayenta, Arizona
- Kykotsmovi Village, Arizona
- LeChee Chapter in LeChee, Arizona
- Page, Arizona
- Tuba City, Arizona



Elijah O. Abinah

Director

Utilities Division

EOA:BLC:elr\MAS

ORIGINATOR: Benjamin L. Champion

1 **BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

2 LEA MÁRQUEZ PETERSON

Chairwoman

3 SANDRA D. KENNEDY

Commissioner

4 JUSTIN OLSON

Commissioner

5 ANNA TOVAR

Commissioner

6 JIM O'CONNOR

Commissioner

7 IN THE MATTER OF IMPACT OF THE
8 CLOSURES OF FOSSIL-BASED
9 GENERATION PLANT ON IMPACTED
COMMUNITIES

DOCKET NO. E-00000A-21-0010

DECISION NO. _____

ORDER

**PROPOSED PROCEDURAL
TIMELINE**

11 Open Meeting
12 February 8 and 9, 2022
13 Phoenix, Arizona

14 BY THE COMMISSION:

FINDINGS OF FACT

15 **BACKGROUND**

16 1. On January 12, 2021, pursuant to Decision No. 77856, Arizona Corporation
17 Commission ("ACC" or "Commission") Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") opened the current generic
18 docket: "In the Matter of Impact of the Closures of Fossil-Based Generation Plant on Impacted
19 Communities" (Docket No. E-00000A-21-0010) ("Generic Docket").

20 2. On Friday, June 11, 2021, and Monday, June 14, 2021, letters were sent along with
21 questions to impacted communities, the Governor's Office, the state legislature, regulated and
22 nonregulated entities, state and federal agencies, and public utility commissions in neighboring
23 states to solicit comments pertinent to the Generic Docket.

24 3. On July 28, 2021, pursuant to Decision Nos. 77856 and 78016, Staff filed its Staff
25 Report summarizing the procedural background of Docket No. E-00000A-21-0010, the information
26 received by stakeholders in responses to Staff's letters, and Staff's research and conclusions.

27 4. On November 9, 2021, the Commission issued Decision No. 78317, that required
28 Staff to "hold a procedural workshop to develop and discuss with stakeholders a timeline and process

1 for determining how the Commission should further address [various issues within the Generic
2 Docket]” within 90 days of issuing the Decision.¹

3 5. On December 15, 2021, Staff filed two memoranda in the Generic Docket. The first
4 memorandum provided notice of a procedural workshop scheduled for January 6, 2021. The second
5 memorandum provided a list of topics and questions for the scope of the procedural workshop and
6 invited stakeholders to file comments in the Generic Docket or comment at the procedural workshop
7 regarding these topics and questions.

8 6. On January 6, 2022, Staff held a procedural workshop (“Procedural Workshop”).

9 7. Decision No. 78317 also requires that “within 30 days following the stakeholder
10 [procedural] workshop described above, Staff shall prepare and file in the docket, for Commission
11 review and approval, a detailed timeline and proposal identifying how Staff and stakeholders plan
12 to work together to address and ultimately obtain any additional resolution of the issues detailed
13 above.”²

14 **Staff Analysis and Recommendations**

15 *Proposed Timeline*

16 Stakeholder Procedural Filings

17 8. In response to the memorandum docketed by Staff on December 15, 2021, several
18 stakeholders filed items in the Generic Docket on December 28, 2021. These stakeholders include
19 the following:

- 20 a. Nicole Horseherder on behalf of Tó Nizhóni Ání, Mike Eisenfeld, on behalf
21 of San Juan Citizens Alliance, Cliff Johns, on behalf of Black Mesa Trust,
22 and Carol Davis, on behalf of Dine C.A.R.E. (collectively, “Citizen
Groups”),³
- 23 b. Elizabeth Lawrence on behalf of Arizona Public Service Company (“APS”),⁴
- 24 c. Tucson Electric Power Company (“TEP”),⁵

26 ¹ Decision No. 78317, Docket No. E-01345A-19-0236, 431, lines 4-25, November 9, 2021, <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/0000205236.pdf>.

27 ² Id. at 431, lines 26-27, 432, lines 1-2.

28 ³ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017173.pdf>

⁴ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017172.pdf>

⁵ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017170.pdf>

- d. Daniel Pozefsky on behalf of the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO").⁶

Procedural Workshop Content and Stakeholder Participation

9. During the Procedural Workshop, Staff presented the background and scope of the workshop, where the scope was defined as the activities and timelines for Commission action regarding:

- a. Finding funding and other forms of support for Impacted Communities,
- b. Seeking funding and other forms of support for Impacted Communities,
- c. Development of a policy task force among governmental entities and tribal advocates,
- d. Resolving questions surrounding funding and other forms of support by regulated utilities for Impacted Communities, and
- e. Engaging entities other than the ACC.

10. In addition, stakeholders made presentations and provided public comments.

11. The following stakeholders made presentations or public comments at the Procedural Workshop:

- a. Chairman Timothy Nuvangyaoma – on behalf of the Hopi Tribe,
- b. Todd Kimbrough – on behalf of the Navajo Nation,
- c. Nicole Horseherder – on behalf of Tó Nizhóni Ání,
- d. Jennifer Anderson – on behalf of Arizona Center for Law in the Public Interest and the Citizen Groups,
- e. Rochelle Lacapa – on behalf of Navajo County,
- f. Amanda Ormond – on behalf of the Arizona State University ("ASU") Just Energy Transition Center ("JETC"),
- g. Bryan Fields – on behalf of Joseph City School District,
- h. Jeff Allmon – on behalf of APS,

⁶ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017167.pdf>

- i. Caryn Potter – on behalf of the Southwest Energy Efficiency Project (“SWEEP”),
- j. Adam Stafford – on behalf of the Western Resources Advocates (“WRA”),
- k. Eric Frankowski – on behalf of the Western Clean Energy Campaign,
- l. Sandy Bahr – on behalf of Sierra Club,
- m. Erik Bakken – on behalf of TEP, and
- n. Amy Mignella – on behalf of the Hopi Tribe.

12. All slides provided by presenters to accompany their presentations have been filed by Staff in the Generic Docket.⁷

13. In response to comments made by presenters at the Procedural Workshop, Staff concluded the Procedural Workshop by requesting that all interested stakeholders file any suggested locations for townhall meetings in Impacted Communities in the Generic Docket.

Recommendations

14. Pursuant to Decision No. 78317, and based on the comments provided by stakeholders in the Generic Docket and the Procedural Workshop, Staff recommends the Commission approve and adopt the detailed procedural timeline presented in the following table:

Objectives (See Decision No. 78317 at 431):	
1) Finding and Seeking Funding and Other Support for Impacted Communities	
2) Policy Task Force	Development of a policy task force involving entities beyond the ACC, potentially including other government agencies, civil society organizations, interested stakeholders, or others.
3) Utility Funding for Impacted Communities	In support of the resolution of issues in the most recent APS and TEP rate cases regarding utility funding of community transitions through their respective rate cases.

⁷ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017298.pdf>

Activities:	
Jan. 6, 2022 (completed)	Procedural Workshop
Feb. 2, 2022 (completed)	First Substantive Workshop
Starting Mid-February 2022	Policy Task Force Meets to Develop Draft Recommendations
Starting Mid-February 2022	Town Halls Held in Impacted Communities
May 2, 2022	Policy Task Force Final Recommendations Docketed
May 11, 2022	Second Substantive Workshop: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy Task Force Presents Final Recommendations • Stakeholders Respond to Policy Task Force
July 15, 2022	Staff Report and Recommended Order Based on Policy Task Force Recommendations Docketed

Policy Task Force

15. In Decision No. 78317, Staff was directed to explore establishment of a Policy Task Force (“Policy Task Force”) consisting of governmental entities and tribal advocates who are or should be involved in the development of policy on issues concerning Arizona communities impacted by the closure of fossil-based generation (“Members”).⁸

16. On December 15, 2021, Staff filed a memorandum in the Generic Docket requesting input from stakeholders related to procedural aspects of the Policy Task Force, among other items related to the Generic Docket in Decision No. 78317.⁹ Presenters at the Procedural Workshop were also invited to make recommendations regarding the establishment of the Policy Task Force, including its structure and membership.¹⁰

17. After reviewing the recommendations filed in the Generic Docket in response to the December 15, 2021, Staff Memorandum and contributions by stakeholders in the Procedural Workshop, Staff makes the below recommendations regarding the structure and membership of the Policy Task Force.

⁸ Decision No. 78317, Docket No. E-01345A-19-0236, 431, lines 21-23, November 9, 2021, <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/0000205236.pdf>.

⁹ Staff Memorandum, December 15, 2021, <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017058.pdf>.

¹⁰ Staff Memorandum, page 9, January 7, 2022, <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017298.pdf>.

1 *Staff Recommendations*

2 Structure of the Policy Task Force

3 18. Given the scope of input provided in the Generic Docket, the scope of direction
4 provided by the Commission in Decision No. 78317, and the discussion at the Procedural Workshop,
5 Staff recommends that the Policy Task Force be created and organized into Three Topical Subgroups
6 ("Subgroups"). Staff recommends the Policy Task Force be comprised of a committee of the whole
7 as well as at least the following Subgroups:

- 8 a. Funding,
9 b. Repurposing of Plants and Facilities, and
10 c. Benefits and Impacts to Ratepayers.

11 Membership of the Policy Task Force

12 19. Staff reviewed past participation in the Procedural Workshop and recent filings in the
13 Generic Docket. Attachment A includes a list of stakeholders who attended the Procedural
14 Workshop or were recommended by presenters at the Procedural Workshop. Attachment B includes
15 a list of stakeholders who were not included in Attachment A but were previously mentioned by
16 regulated utilities as Impacted Communities.

17 20. Staff also conducted research into additional stakeholders who could contribute
18 substantive knowledge to the scope of the Policy Task Force, but were not listed in either Attachment
19 A or B. These stakeholders included Impacted Communities, governmental agencies, and non-
20 governmental and community organizations such as Community Development Financial Institutions
21 ("CDFIs"), community economic development and entrepreneurship organizations, and community
22 service organizations. Attachment C includes a list of these organizations.

23 21. Staff recommends that all identifiable Impacted Communities be invited as Members
24 of the Policy Task Force given the emphasis by stakeholders on engagement with Impacted
25 Communities during the Procedural Workshop.

26 22. Staff also recommends that certain governmental entities with the responsibilities to
27 economic development in Impacted Communities and their surrounding areas should be invited to
28 serve as Members of the Policy Task Force, including RUCO, Arizona Commerce Authority

(“ACA”), and Arizona Department of Economic Security (“DES”). In addition, Staff recommends the Federal Interagency Working Group on Coal and Power Plant Communities and Economic Revitalization (“IWG”) be invited to serve as a Member given its role as a clearinghouse of federal funding opportunities, support for states and communities seeking funding, and other forms of support from the federal government. Staff further recommends a staff member from the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission (“NMPRC”) be invited to serve as a Member of the Policy Task Force, given its responsibilities in ordering support for Impacted Communities through the New Mexico Energy Transition Act (“ETA”).

23. Staff also recommends that stakeholders who have participated in the Generic Docket thus far and have expressed interest in being members of the Policy Task Force be invited to do so, and these include the JETC, SWEEP, and WRA.

24. Since APS, TEP, and SRP each have owned and operated coal plants that either have already ceased generation operations or are scheduled to do so, these Arizona utilities should serve as Members on the Policy Task Force.

25. Staff recommends that all Policy Task Force Members be allowed to participate in the Subgroup(s) each desires, but that all Members participate in at least one Subgroup, as designated in the table below. All members identified in the following chart should notify Staff as soon as possible if they desire to be on any additional subgroups.

Member	Subgroup 1) Funding	Subgroup 2) Repurposing of Plants and Facilities	Subgroup 3) Benefits and Impacts to Ratepayers
ACC Staff	X	X	X
Utilities			
APS		X	
TEP	X		
Salt River Project (“SRP”)			X
Governmental Entities			
RUCO	X	X	X
NMPRC	X		

Member	Subgroup 1) Funding	Subgroup 2) Repurposing of Plants and Facilities	Subgroup 3) Benefits and Impacts to Ratepayers
Federal IWG	X		
ACA	X		
DES	X		
Impacted Communities			
The Hopi Tribe	X		
The Navajo Nation	X		
Apache County, AZ	X		
Navajo County, AZ	X		
Coconino County, AZ	X		
San Juan County, NM	X		
Concho, AZ	X		
City of Craig, CO	X		
Town of Eagar, AZ		X	
City of Farmington, NM		X	
City of Holbrook, AZ		X	
Kayenta, AZ	X		
City of Page, AZ	X		
Pinedale, AZ	X		
Town of Pinetop- Lakeside, AZ		X	
Shonto, AZ	X		
City of Show Low, AZ		X	
Town of Snowflake, AZ		X	
Town of Springerville, AZ		X	
City of St. Johns, AZ	X		
Town of Taylor, AZ		X	
Tuba City, AZ	X		
Vernon, AZ		X	
Joseph City, AZ		X	
Joseph City Unified School District	X		
Northland Pioneer College	X		
Tó Nizhóni Ání	X		
Diné C.A.R.E.		X	

Member	Subgroup 1) Funding	Subgroup 2) Repurposing of Plants and Facilities	Subgroup 3) Benefits and Impacts to Ratepayers
San Juan Citizens Alliance			X
Black Mesa Trust & Black Mesa Water Coalition	X		
Boilermakers Local Lodge 627		X	
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers	X		
Other Stakeholders			
JETC	X		
SWEEP			X
WRA		X	

Town Halls in Impacted Communities

26. On January 14, 2022, Staff filed a memorandum in the Generic Docket requesting stakeholders file suggestions and proposed locations within Impacted Communities for upcoming townhall meetings in the Generic Docket.¹¹

27. On January 20 and 21, 2022, stakeholders filed the following suggested locations for townhall meetings:

The Navajo Nation¹²

- LeChee Chapter in LeChee, Arizona
- Kayenta Chapter in Kayenta, Arizona
- Nenahnezad Chapter in Nenahnezad, New Mexico

APS¹³

- APS supports the preferences expressed directly by the representatives of Impacted Communities as to the locations for townhall meetings and will participate in such discussions wherever they are held.

¹¹ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017398.pdf>

¹² <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017470.pdf>

¹³ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017484.pdf>

TEP¹⁴

- Eagar, Arizona
- Springerville Community, Arizona
- St. Johns, Arizona

SWEEP¹⁵

- Black Mesa, around Navajo Generating Station and Kayenta Mine
- Joseph City, Arizona
- Kayenta, Arizona
- Page, Arizona

Citizens Groups¹⁶

- Pinon, Arizona (Chapter House or Pinon Unified School District)
- Kykotsomovi Village, Arizona (Veterans Center or Hopi Day School)

Staff Recommendations

28. After reviewing the suggested locations by stakeholders, Staff recommends the following locations for townhall meetings in this Generic Docket:

Cholla Power Plant

- Holbrook, Arizona
- Joseph City, Arizona
- Snowflake/Taylor, Arizona

Coronado Generating Station and Springerville Generating Station

- Eagar/Springerville, Arizona
- St. Johns, Arizona

Four Corners Power Plant

- Farmington, New Mexico
- Shiprock, New Mexico

Navajo Generating Station

- Black Mesa, Arizona
- Kayenta Chapter in Kayenta, Arizona
- Kykotsmovi Village, Arizona
- LeChee Chapter in LeChee, Arizona
- Page, Arizona
- Tuba City, Arizona

...

¹⁴ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017490.pdf>

¹⁵ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017480.pdf>

¹⁶ <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000017493.pdf>

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Commission has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this application.

2. The Commission, having reviewed Staff's Memorandum, finds that it is in the public interest to adopt Staff's proposed procedural timeline.

3. The Commission, having reviewed Staff's Memorandum, finds that it is in the public interest to adopt Staff's proposals regarding structure and membership in the Policy Task Force are appropriate.

4. The Commission, having reviewed Staff's proposed locations for Town Halls, finds that it is the public interest to adopt Staff's proposed Town Hall locations.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the procedural timeline discussed in Findings of Fact 14 is hereby adopted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff is directed to create a Policy Task Force with the structure and membership discussed in Findings of Fact 25.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff is directed to organize Town Halls in the locations discussed in Findings of Fact 28.

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of the Order shall be filed in Docket No. E-01345A-19-0236 and E-01933A-19-0028.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

CHAIRWOMAN MÁRQUEZ PETERSON

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY

COMMISSIONER OLSON

COMMISSIONER TOVAR

COMMISSIONER O'CONNOR

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, MATTHEW J. NEUBERT,
Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission,
have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of this
Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of
Phoenix, this _____ day of _____, 2022.

MATTHEW J. NEUBERT
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

DISSENT: _____

DISSENT: _____

EOA:BLC:elr/MAS

List of Stakeholders Who Attended the Procedural Workshop

List of stakeholders recommended as members of the Policy Task Force at the Procedural Workshop:

- Utilities
 - Arizona Public Service Company (“APS”)
 - Tucson Electric Power Company (“TEP”)
 - Salt River Project (“SRP”)
- Governmental Entities
 - Administration for Native Americans
 - Arizona Governor’s Office
 - Arizona Legislature
 - Arizona Commerce Authority
 - Arizona Congressional Delegation
 - Arizona Department of Economic Security
 - Arizona Department of Energy
 - Arizona Department of Commerce
 - Arizona Office of Tourism
 - Arizona Residential Utility Consumer Office (“RUCO”)
 - Federal Interagency Working Group on Coal & Power Plant Communities & Economic Revitalization (“IWG”)
 - New Mexico Public Regulation Commission
 - Other State Public Utility Commissions
 - U.S. Department of Commerce
 - U.S. Department of Energy
 - U.S. Department of the Interior (BIA)
- Impacted Communities
 - The Hopi Tribe
 - The Navajo Nation
 - Navajo County, Arizona
 - Joseph City
 - Joseph City Foundation
 - Joseph City Unified School District
 - Apache County, Arizona
 - City of St. Johns
 - Cochise County, Arizona
 - San Juan County, New Mexico
 - Citizen Groups
 - Black Mesa Trust & Black Mesa Water Coalition
 - Diné C.A.R.E.
 - San Juan Citizens Alliance
 - Tó Nizhóní Ání

- Other Stakeholders
 - Arizona State University Center for Just & Equitable Transition (“ASU Center for JET”)
 - Southwestern Energy Efficiency Project (“SWEEP”)
 - Western Resource Advocates (“WRA”)
 - Intertribal Council of Arizona
 - Just and Equitable Transition
 - Rocky Mountain Institute

List of Stakeholders Not Included

List of Impacted Communities identified in the July 28, 2021, Staff Report which were not suggested to be members of the Policy Task Force at the Procedural Workshop:

- Impacted Communities
 - Boilermakers Local Lodge 627
 - Concho, AZ (unincorporated)
 - City of Craig, CO
 - Town of Eagar, AZ
 - City of Farmington, NM
 - City of Holbrook, AZ
 - International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers
 - Northland Pioneer College
 - Pinedale, AZ (unincorporated)
 - Town of Pinetop-Lakeside, AZ
 - Shonto, AZ (unincorporated)
 - City of Show Low, AZ
 - Town of Taylor, AZ
 - Vernon, AZ (unincorporated)

**List of Stakeholders Not Included in Attachment A
Previously Mentioned by Regulated Utilities as Impacted Communities**

Additional list of Impacted Communities, governmental agencies, and organizations identified through Staff research with potential substantive knowledge useful to the Policy Task Force:

- Impacted Communities
 - Kayenta, AZ (unincorporated)
 - City of Page, AZ
 - Town of Snowflake, AZ
 - Town of Springerville, AZ
 - Tuba City, AZ (unincorporated)
- Arizona State Agencies
 - Arizona Commerce Authority
 - Arizona Department of Agriculture
 - Arizona Department of Education
 - Arizona Department of Environmental Quality
 - Arizona Department of Forestry & Fire Management
 - Arizona Department of Housing
 - Arizona Department of Land
 - Arizona Department of Public Safety
 - Arizona Department of Revenue
 - Arizona Department of Transportation
 - Arizona Department of Water Resources
 - Arizona Finance Authority
 - Arizona Industrial Commission
 - Arizona Office of Economic Opportunity
 - Arizona Power Authority
 - Arizona Treasurer's Office
 - Arizona School Facilities Board
 - Arizona Water Infrastructure Finance Authority
 - Governor's Office on Tribal Relations
 - Northern Arizona University Office of Native American Initiatives
 - University of Arizona Native Nations Institute
- Arizona and New Mexico Non-Governmental and Community Organizations
 - Impacted Community CDFIs
 - Hopi Credit Association
 - Navajo CDFI
 - Statewide CDFIs
 - Chicanos Por La Causa
 - Business Development Finance Corporation

- Clearinghouse CDFI
 - Dreamspring CDFI
 - Local Initiatives Support Corporation (“LISC”)
 - Native Capital Access
 - San Carlos Apache Tribe Relending Enterprise
 - Trellis
- Impacted Community Economic Development Organizations
 - Arizona Community Foundation of Flagstaff
 - Apache County Youth Development Foundation
 - Capacity Builders, Inc. (Farmington, NM)
 - Chinle Chapter of the National Congress for Community Economic Development
 - Dine Chamber of Commerce
 - Dine Development Corporation
 - Foundation for Little Colorado Revitalization
 - Four Corners Economic Development
 - Holbrook Foundation
 - Hopi Foundation
 - Joseph City Foundation
 - Navajo Entrepreneurship Coalition
 - Sipaulovi Development Corporation (Second Mesa, AZ)
 - Moenkepi Developer’s Corporation (Tuba City)
 - White Mountain Apache Community Development Corporation
- Impacted Community Service Organizations
 - Colorado Plateau Foundation
 - Hopi Educational Endowment Fund
 - Red Feather Development Group
 - Navajo Relief Fund
 - Navajo Strong
 - Navajo Water Project
 - United Mine Workers of America International
- Additional Stakeholders
 - First Nations Development Institute
 - Native Americans in Philanthropy